

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,901	HESSE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	1782	

All Participants:

(1) WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH.

(2) Harold Pezzner.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2011

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: ~1:00 PM

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner proposed Examiner's amendments as detailed in the attached Notice of Allowance. Harold Pezzner accepted the proposed amendments.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rena L. Dye/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1782
/Walter B Aughenbaugh /
Examiner, Art Unit 1782

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

